



Risk factors for stress urinary incontinence

- · Age, menopause
- Obesity
- Vaginal deliveryPregnancy
- Radiation











History taking

- Rule out other pathology: infection / malignancy
 Differentiate storage / voiding symptoms
- Obstetric history / surgical history
- Risk factors
- · Bowel function / sexual function
- Expectation
- Bother

Physical examination

- Demonstrate urinary leakage
- Pelvic organ prolapse
- Palpate pelvic floor muscles
- Assess muscle strength





Urodynamic evaluation

- Controversy
- Value of leak point pressures
- Value of urethral function evaluation Techniques

"should all women have urodynamic evaluation before undergoing continence surgery?"

Aims of urodynamic evaluation

- To define: bladder function and urethral function · To reproduce: identify the pathophysiology
- behind the symptoms
- To diagnose
- To select: appropriate management option To predict: worse outcomes (detrusor
- overactivity / voiding dysfunction)

"Urodynamic study identifies incontinence machanism"

- Able to differentiate USI, DOI, MUI, etc
- SUI diagnosed by visualising urine leakage on bedside cough tests
 Careful history taking able to reveal cough-induced detrusor overactivity
 Urodynamic study sometimes fail to reproduce symptoms

"identifying DO can predict worse outcome"

- Detrusor overactivity may worsen after surgery
- Doesn't affect the management (surgery plus medical treatment)
 Several studies suggest DO may improve after surgry

"identifying voiding dysfunction predicts worse outcome"

- Provide better counselling before surgery
- Diagnosis of voiding dysfunction is uncertain, As there is no agreed definition
 Normal voiding function cannot preclude voiding dysfunction after surgery

What do the guidelines say?

NICE 2019

 Do not perform urodynamic evaluation before primary surgery if SUI is diagnosed based on detailed clinical history and demonstrated SUI at examination

AUA 2017

- Physicians may omit urodynamic evaluation for the index patient desiring treatment when SUI is clearly demonstrated
- "Index patient": otherwise healthy female considering surgical treatment for the correction of SUI

EAU 2019

• Perform urodynamic evaluation if findings may change the choice of invasive management

"should all women have urodynamic evaluation before undergoing continence surgery?" Mechanism of stress urinary incontinence

Urethral hypermobility

VS

Intrinsic sphincter deficiency



Intrinsic sphincter deficiency

- · Inability of urethra to generate enough outlet resistance to keep it closed
- · Nerve, muscle, mucosa, scarring etc



Urethral function studies

- Fluoroscopy during video urodynamic study
- · Abdominal leak point pressure
- Urethral pressure profilometry

Abdominal leak point pressure

- What is it?
- How to measure it?
- How to interpret?
- Limitations
 - · Not able to demonstrate in everybody
 - Visualisation of leakage
 - Catheter size and urethral obstruction
 Variable baseline intravesical pressure
 - · Patient position and bladder filling

Urethral pressure profilometry

- What is it?
- Urethral pressure is the fluid pressure which is required to just open a closed urethra
- Profilometry describes the change in intraluminal pressure along the length of urethra

Urethral pressure profilometry

- How is it measured?
- Brown and Wickham method
- Measures the pressure needed to perfuse a catheter at constant rate, while the bladder catheter is drawn at a steady speed (2mm/sec)
 Perfusion at a constant rate can be achieved by
- a syringe pump (or sometimes a pressure bag)

<section-header>

Urethral pressure profilometry

- Normal values:
- 92 age (Edwards 1974)
- >30 (<20 being diagnostic of ISD)
-
- Highly variable
- Bladder filling
- Patient position
- Method to produce constant rate of filling
- Catheter size

Urethral function studies

- · Fluoroscopy during video urodynamic study
- Abdominal leak point pressure
- Urethral pressure profilometry
- Conclusion:
- No one single study is effective to make a diagnosis of underlying mechanism of urodynamic stress incontinence
- Use in conjunction with standard urodynamic study to give more information to enable better counselling

Techniques during urodynamic study

- · Patient positioning
- Provocative tests
- Role of video urodynamic
- · Role of ambulatory urodynamic

Pelvic organ prolapse

- Presentation
- Assessment
- Relationship between Delancey's level and POP
- Terminology
- Association of POP and UI
- Effect on POP on urodynamic parameters

Presentation of POP

- Asymptomatic
- dragging sensation
- Mass felt / seen
- Urinary incontinence
- Voiding dysfunction
- · Pain (uncommon unless associated with mucosal ulceration)

Assessment of POP



Delancey's level of support

- · Anterior Wall Defects : Cystocele - Level 2
- Urethral hypermobility (SUI) Level 3
- · Posterior Wall Defects :
- Rectocele Level 2
- Apical Defects:
- Uterovaginal Prolapse -Level 1 Vaginal Vault Prolapse-Level 1
- Enterocele Level 1



Terminology - pelvic floor dysfunction

- · Lower urinary tract symptoms: urinary incontinence, voiding dysfunction • Defecatory dysfunction

- Sexual dysfunction
 Pelvic organ prolapse (anterior wall defect, posterior wall defect)

Association of POP and UI

- In all grade POP, SUI reported in up to 40%
- In grade IV POP, 60% has urinary incontinence

'should all women planning for POP repair undergo urodynamic study?'

Effect of POP on urodynamic parameters

- · Qmax & RU are not affected
- · Not associated with voiding dysfunction or detrusor overactivity
- DLPP and MUCP decrease with reduction of prolapse
- · So how to do a urodynamic study in patients with POP?
- · How to reduce the POP at the study

Urinary retention in women

- What is retention?
- Transient causes
- · Causes for persistent urinary retention
- Assessment
- · Definition of BOO in women
- · Management of urinary retention

Urinary retention

- Complete retention
- · Incomplete emptying / elevated post void residue
- Symptomatic / asymptomatic
- Acute / chronic
- · Bladder dysfunction / bladder outlet dysfunction

Transient causes

- · Immobility (esp post operation)
- Fecal impaction
- Urinary tract infection
- Delirium
- Post partum urinary retention
- ~30% no identifiable cause
- ~50% void normally without treatment

Bladder dysfunction

- Acontractile detrusor
- · Detrusor underactivity
- Neurogenic: lower motor neuron
- Myogenic: chronic distension / diabetes

Aging

Bladder outlet dysfunction

- Anatomical
- · Stricture: iatrogenic (catheterization, surgery, radiation, pelvic fracture)
- Post continence surgeryPOP
- Urethral diverticulum
- Ureterocoele
- Functional
- Dysfunctional voiding
- Primary bladder neck obstruction
- Detrusor external sphincter dyssynergia

Assessment

- Transient causes
- Focal neurological examination (no urological evaluation can tell neurogenic or not)
- · Uroflowmetry and post void bladder scan
- · Urodynamic study (surface EMG not always helpful)

Problems of urodynamic study

- Many women cannot void at CMG suite
- Unnatural environment
- · Women empty their bladders by relaxing pelvic floor, sometimes with aid of abdominal muscles, without generating a strong detrusor contraction
- BOOI & BCI formulae don't apply
- · Difficult to diagnose detrusor underactivity
- Small changes in Pdet may define BOO

Assessment of BOO in women

- Absolute cut-off values
- · Fluoroscopy identifying radiological evidence
- Nomograms

Definition of BOO in women

- Massey & Abrams: 2 out of 3 • Qmax < 12 • Pdet > 50
- Urethral resistance (Pdet / Qmax) > 0.2
- Axelrod & Blaivas: Qmax < 12 & Pdet > 20
 Chassagne et al: Qmax < 15 & Pdet > 20
- Lemack & Zimmern: Qmax < 11 & Pdet > 21
- Groutz et al: Qmax < 12 & Pdet > 20









- When empirical or conservative management does not provide adequate symptom relief, urodynamic study is indicated
- Urodynamic study should always be performed in women with SUI with other LUTS prior to invasive treatment
- Urodynamic study may guide the options of surgery
- Urodynamic study has not been shown to affect treatment outcome